IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.3 OF 2004

Shri Zulfiquar Ahmed Mohamed Jami. ..Petitioner.

V/s.

Janata Education Society & Ors. ..Respondents.

Mr.H.A.Solkar for petitioner.

Mr.C.R.Sonawane, AGP for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Mr.A.R.Shaikh for respondent No.1.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J

DATE : AUGUST 9, 2006.

P.C. :

1. Heard.

2. According to the Education Officer, no decision could be taken as the management had forwarded two different proposals. In the circumstances, Management was called upon to take a clear stand as to they would prefer to pursue which proposal out of two proposals submitted by them. Counsel for the respondent No.1/management on instruction states that the management is inclined to pursue the proposal in respect of the petitioner herein, which was submitted on 14th July, 2003 and received in the office of Education Officer on 15th

July, 2003, which is mentioned at Exh.B on page 11 of the petition.

3. Counsel for the Education Officer submits that in view of this statement, Education officer will take necessary steps to process the said proposal and take appropriate decision in accordance with the law.

4. In view of this position, nothing survives for consideration in the present contempt petition. It is however, observed that Education Officer shall take appropriate decision on the proposal submitted at Exh.B on page 11 within two weeks from today and communicate the said decision to all concerned including petitioner and to the management. Petition disposed of on the above terms. Notice issued to the respondents is discharged.